Part 1. Overview Information

Participating Organizations	[NOFO](#)
Components of Participating Organizations	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)
Funding Opportunity Title	Influenza Transmission Research Consortium (FluTC Clinical Trial Centers)
Activity Code	FR Research Program Projects
Announcement Type	New
Related Notices	• **April 5, 2024** - Extension of Early Application and Review Changes for Due Dates on or after January 25, 2025. See [NOT-AI-24-035](#) • **January 25, 2024** - Early Application and Review Changes for Due Dates on or after January 25, 2025. See [NOT-OD-24-062](#)
Funding Opportunity Number (FON)	RFA-AI-25-035
Companion Notice of Funding Opportunity	[NOFO](#)
Number of Applications	See [Section III. 3. Additional Information on Eligibility.](#)
Assistance Listing Number(s)	93.855
Notice of Funding Opportunity Purpose	The purpose of this notice of funding opportunity (NOFO) is to support basic research to understand the transmission characteristics of respiratory viruses of importance to public health, including influenza viruses. The FluTC will establish an influenza transmission research consortium that will leverage existing clinical research infrastructure and will conduct research to address critical gaps in knowledge about influenza virus transmission.

Funding Opportunity Goals

To assess public and private nonprofit institutions and individuals to establish, expand and improve biomedical research capacity and infrastructure, including the development of research resources, to support research projects that are responsive to the mission of the NIAID and to the scientific opportunities and public health needs in the areas described in this NOFO.

To support basic and translational research that addresses the scientific objectives described in this NOFO. To enable rapid and effective response to research opportunities that may arise during the course of the project.

To encourage the participation of investigators from diverse backgrounds, including women, individuals from underrepresented racial and ethnic groups, individuals with disabilities, and individuals from diverse geographic locations.

Key Dates

Posted Date	September 26, 2024
Open Date (Earliest Submission Date)	October 27, 2024
Letter of Intent Due Date(s)	See below or in application due date.

Application Due Dates			Review and Award Cycles		
New	Renewal / Resubmission / Revision (if allowed)	AIDS-Related Renewal/Resubmission/Revision, as allowed	Scientific Merit Review	Advisory Council Review	Earliest Start Date
November 27, 2024	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	March 2025	May 2025	July 2025

All applications are due by 5:00 PM local time of applicant's organization.

If the application due date falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, the application is due on the next business day.

Publication Date	November 08, 2024
Due Dates for E.O. 14073	Not Applicable

Required Application Instructions

It is critical that applicants follow the Multi-Project (MI) instructions in the How to Apply – Application Guide, except where instructed to do otherwise (in this NOFO or in a Publication Notice). Using the appropriate instructions is critical to ensure that applications are submitted in a manner that can be accepted and reviked as responsive to this NOFO. Applications that do not comply with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review.

Table of Contents

Part 1. Overview Information
Part 2. Full Text of Announcement
Section I. Request for Applications Description
Section II. Eligibility Information
Section III. Application and Submission Information
Section IV. Application Review Information
Section V. Award Administration Information
Section VI. Agency Contacts
Section VII. Publication Notes

Part 2. Full Text of Announcement

Section I. Notice of Funding Description

Purpose

The purpose of this notice of funding opportunity (NOFO) is to support basic research to understand the transmission characteristics of respiratory viruses of importance to public health, including influenza viruses. The FluTC will establish an influenza transmission research consortium that will leverage existing clinical research infrastructure and will conduct research to address critical gaps in knowledge about influenza virus transmission.

Research Objectives

The Research Objectives section of the NOFO describes the major scientific objectives of research supported under this NOFO. Applicants are encouraged to propose innovative research with novel approaches that address one or more of the research objectives listed below. Applications are sought that propose research in, but not limited to, the following areas:

- Investigations of the transmission characteristics of respiratory viruses of importance to public health, including influenza viruses, in human challenge studies.
- Investigations of the transmission characteristics of respiratory viruses of importance to public health, including influenza viruses, in human household transmission studies.
- Studies of influenza transmission in other human transmission settings.
- Studies of influenza transmission in animal transmission models.

Research Strategies

The Research Strategies section of the NOFO describes the research strategies that applicants should employ to achieve the research objectives described in the Research Objectives section of the NOFO. Applications are sought that propose research in, but not limited to, the following areas:

- Prospective study designs to investigate the contact (Exposed Clinical Presentation) (PCP), will be responsible for managing, coordinating, and supervising the safety and progress of research activities conducted by the Consortium. The PCP will provide scientific and technical guidance to the Consortium and will work closely with the Data Management and Statistical Coordinating Center (DMSCC) to ensure the integrity of the research data collected by the Consortium.

Resource Development Core

The Resource Development Core is directed by [redacted] and must be the scientific and community liaison for all participating clinical research sites (hereinafter, Resource Development Core). The Resource Development Core will be responsible for managing, coordinating, and supervising the safety and progress of research activities conducted by the Consortium. The Resource Development Core will provide scientific and technical guidance to the Consortium and will work closely with the Data Management and Statistical Coordinating Center (DMSCC) to ensure the integrity of the research data collected by the Consortium.

Additional Research Activities

The Program may conduct **Joint Calls, but not to Issue New Grants** Research Projects organized around the common theme of understanding the factors that affect determinant of transmission of respiratory viruses of importance to public health, including influenza viruses.

Additional Research Activities Not Supported

The Program may conduct additional research activities, but not limited to:

- Conduction of research activities in clinical trials.
- Conduction of research activities in clinical trials.
- Conduction of research activities in clinical trials.
- Conduction of research activities in clinical trials.
- Rate of virus in sputum by enumeration, including the impact of pre-existing immunity through infection and/or vaccination, the level of protection needed to interrupt transmission, and the duration of protection against infection and disease.

Specific Aims of Research Interests

Applications must propose research that addresses one or more of the following specific aims

- Applicants must include a complete clinical protocol as part of the Appendix. Applicants should use the most current SF424 (R&R) Application Guide and PHS398 Application Guide for the clinical protocol, and are urged to be familiar with the Tara NIAID Clinical Research Toolkit website for clinical protocol guidance and templates.
- Applications that propose a clinical trial but lack a complete clinical protocol are incomplete and will **not** be reviewed.

PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information and Clinical Trials Information Form is required for all Research Plan Grant Study records.

Study Record: PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information

All instructions in the How to Apply–Application Guide must be followed, with the following additional instructions:

Section 2 – Study Population Characteristics

2.7 Study Timeline

Include the following:

- Completion of data collection time period;
- Completion of primary endpoint and secondary endpoint data analyses time period;
- Completion of final study report;
- Include a table of milestones for completion of the clinical trial and provide contingency plans should there be delays in attaining them.

This section must include:

- A timeline for the following general milestones, as applicable;
- Completion of regulatory approvals;
- Enrollment of 25%, 50% and 100% of the projected recruitment time period for all study subjects, including a plan for initial site activation and expected timing of screening/enrollment of first participant;
- Detailed protocol-specific performance milestones; these milestones will be negotiated at the time of the award, if appropriate;
- Timeline for implementation and monitoring of achieving and completing each milestone on time, including alternate approaches and contingencies for dealing with potential milestone delays.

Section 3 – Protection and Monitoring Plans

3.3 Data and Safety Monitoring Plan

The Data and Safety Monitoring (DSMP)an must address the following areas:

- Where the monitoring will occur;
- How data/monitoring, and participating facilities (labs) will be monitored;
- Also address the appropriate oversight over the conduct of the trial, including at a minimum the appropriate clinical monitoring independent of the study team, safety monitoring, and adherence to the protocol and applicable regulations;
- Provide a data coordination and retention plan addressing the following areas: (1) database systems to be employed, (2) compliance with federal regulations, (3) security and emergency back-up, and (4) quality control of data from clinical sites and laboratories, as appropriate.

3.5 Overall Structure of the Study Team

This section must include:

- A description of committee structures needed to manage the complexity of the trial, the role of any internal or external advisory committees; the oversight, responsibilities, and coordination of any sites or Cores proposed; and the role of any subcontractors or service providers for personnel or facilities. Note: Do not name potential external advisory committee members in the application or post potential external advisory committee members prior to award.

Section 5 – Other Clinical Trial-related Attachments

5.1 Other Clinical Trial-related Attachments

The following additional documents, if applicable, should be included as attachments:

- Identification and qualifications of clinical trial site(s) and laboratories
- The Investigator's CV (or equivalent) for the principal site and other relevant materials
- The Investigator's Brochure or equivalent for the study product(s)
- Table of Contents of the Manual of Operations (MOPs)
- A comprehensive Laboratory Plan
- Plans and support for acquisition and administration of study agent(s)
- Documentation of Institutional Review Board approval
- Documentation of any consortium/contractual agreements

Delayed Onset Study

Note: Delayed onset does NOT apply to a study that can be described but will not start immediately (i.e., delayed start). All instructions in the How to Apply–Application Guide must be followed.

Foreign Organizations

Foreign applicants may submit applications described in the NIH Grants Policy Statement, and procedures for foreign institutions described throughout the How to Apply – Application Guide.

3. Unique Entity Identifier and System for Award Management (SAM)

See Part 3, Section III.1 for information regarding the requirement for obtaining a unique entity identifier and for completing and maintaining active registrations in System for Award Management (SAM), NIH's Commercial and Government Entity (NCAGE) Code (if applicable), eRA Commons, and Grants.gov.

4. Submission Dates and Times

Part 1. Contains information about Key Dates and times. Applicants are encouraged to submit applications before the due date to ensure they have time to make any application corrections that might be necessary for successful submission. When a submission date falls on a weekend or Federal holiday, the application deadline is automatically extended to the next business day.

Organizations must submit applications to Grants.gov (the online portal to find and apply for grants across all Federal agencies) using ASSIST or other electronic submission systems. Applicants must then complete the submission process by tracking the status of the application in the eRA Commons, NIH's electronic system for grants administration. NIH and Grants.gov systems check the application against many of the application instructions upon submission. Errors must be corrected and a changed/corrected application must be submitted to Grants.gov on or before the application due date and time. If a Changed/Corrected application is submitted after the deadline, the application will be considered late. Applications that miss the due date and time are subjected to the Publication Policy Statement Section 4.3 Electronically Submitted Applications.

Applicants are responsible for viewing their application before the due date in the eRA Commons to ensure accurate and successful submission.

Information on the submission process and a definition of on-time submission are provided in How to Apply–Application Guide.

5. Intergovernmental Review (E.O. 12372)

This initiative is not subject to intergovernmental review.

6. Funding Restrictions

All NIH awards are subject to the terms and conditions, cost principles, and other considerations described in the NIH Grants Policy Statement.

Pre-award costs are allowable only as described in the NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 7.9.1 Selected Items of Cost.

7. Other Submission Requirements and Information

Applications must be submitted electronically following the instructions described in the How to Apply – Application Guide. Paper applications will not be accepted.

For information on how applications will be automatically assembled for review and funding consideration after submission, refer to: http://grants.nih.gov/grants/ElectronicReceipt/files/Publication_Multi-project_Applications_Guide_Assembly.pdf.

Applicants must complete all required registrations before the application due date. Section III. Eligibility Information contains information about registration.

For assistance with application submission, contact the Application Submission Contacts in Section VII. Applicants are encouraged to work early with the eRA Service Desk to obtain any necessary registrations that are not automatic, and to submit a request for help desk assistance well in advance of the application due date. If you encounter a system issue beyond your control that threatens your ability to complete the submission process on time, you must follow the Dealing with System Issues guidance. For assistance with application submission, contact the Application Submission Contacts in Section VII.

Important reminders:

All PD(s)/PI(s) and component Project Leads must include their eRA Commons ID in the Credential field of the Senior/Key Person Profile form. Failure to register in the Commons and to include a valid PD/PI Commons ID in the credential field will prevent the successful submission of an electronic application to NIH.

The applicant organization must ensure that the unique entity identifier provided in the application is the same identifier used in the organization's profile in the eRA Commons and for the System for Award Management. Additional information may be found in How to Apply – Application Guide.

See Publication Info for avoiding common errors.

Upon receipt, applications will be evaluated for completeness and compliance with application instructions by the Center for Scientific Review and responsiveness by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. NIH Application Guide that are incomplete, non-responsive or not compliant may be delayed or not accepted for review.

Mandatory Disclosure

Recipients or subrecipients must submit any information related to violations of federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the federal award. See Publication Numbers, 2 CFR 200.113 and NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 4.1.35.

Send written disclosures to the NIH Chief Grants Management Officer listed on the Notice of Award for the award and to the HHS Office of Inspector Grant Self Disclosure Program at grantsdisclosure@oig.hhs.gov.

Post Submission Materials

Applicants are required to follow the instructions for post-submission materials, as described in the policy.

Section V. Application Review Information

1. Criteria

Only the review criteria described below will be considered in the review process. Applications submitted to NIH in support of the NIH mission are evaluated for scientific and technical merit through the NIH peer review system.

A proposed Clinical Trial application may include study design, methods, and intervention that are not by themselves innovative but address important questions or unmet needs. Additionally, the results of the clinical trial may indicate that further clinical development or testing is warranted or lead to new avenues of scientific investigation.

Overall Impact – Overall

Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the following review criteria and additional review criteria (as applicable for the project proposed).

Scored Review Criteria – Overall

Reviewers will consider each of the review criteria below in the determination of scientific merit and give a separate score for each. An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have major scientific impact and thus deserve a high priority score. For example, a project may be propose to use unproven methods to address critical problems and hence be essential to advance a field.

Significance

Does the project address an important problem or a critical barrier to progress in the field? Is the prior research that serves as the key support for the proposed project rigorous? If the aims of the project are achieved, how will scientific knowledge, technical capability, and/or clinical practice be improved? How will successful completion of the aims change the concepts, methods, technologies, treatments, services, or preventative interventions that drive this field?

In addition, for applications involving clinical trials

Are the scientific rationale and need for a clinical trial to test the proposed hypothesis or intervention well supported by preliminary data, clinical and/or preclinical studies, or information in the literature or knowledge of biological mechanisms? For trials focusing on clinical or public health endpoints, is this clinical trial necessary for testing the safety, efficacy or effectiveness of an intervention that will lead to a change in clinical practice, public health or health care policy? For trials focusing on mechanistic, behavioral, physiological, biochemical, or other biomedical endpoints, is the trial needed to advance scientific understanding?

Investigator(s)

Are the PD(s)/PI(s), collaborators, and other researchers well suited to the project? If Early Stage Investigators or those in the early stages of independent careers, do they have appropriate experience and training? If the project is collaborative or multi-PD/PI, do the investigators have complementary and integrated expertise; are their leadership approach, governance and organizational structure appropriate for the project?

Specific to this NOFO:

- Is the applicant the lead of commitment for the PD(s)/PI(s) to effectively manage the overall project and provide the level of commitment for the PD(s)/PI(s) of the Research Projects and/or Cores to effectively manage the individual components?
- To what extent have the PD(s)/PI(s) demonstrated strong leadership skills and ability to accomplish the proposed work and the potential to effectively manage and direct an integrated and focused research program?
- To what extent does the multidisciplinary expertise represented on the investigative team allow the Center to achieve the proposed research goals and address the NOFO purpose and objectives?

In addition, for applications involving clinical trials

With regard to the proposed leadership for the project, do the PD/PIs(s) and key personnel have the expertise, experience, and ability to organize, manage and implement the proposed clinical trial(s) in the proposed multisite and timeline? Do they have appropriate experience in managing data collection, data management and statistics? For a multisite trial, is the organizational structure appropriate and does the application identify a core of potential center investigators and staffing for a coordinating center?

Innovation

Does the application challenge and seek to shift current research or clinical practice paradigms by utilizing novel theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions? Are the aims original and innovative? Does the project challenge existing paradigms or clinical practice, address an innovative hypothesis or overcome a barrier to a research, improvement, or new application of theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions proposed?

In addition, for applications involving clinical trials

Does the design/research plan include innovative elements, as appropriate, that enhance its rigor/feasibility, potential for information or potential to advance scientific knowledge or clinical practice?

Approach

Are the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the specific aims of the project? Have the investigators included plans to address weaknesses in the rigor of prior research that serves as the key support for the proposed project? Have the investigators presented strategies to ensure a robust and unbiased approach, as appropriate for the work proposed? Are potential problems, alternative strategies, and benchmarks for success presented? If the project is in the early stages of development, will the strategy establish feasibility and will particular risks be managed? Have the investigators presented adequate plans to address relevant biological variables, such as sex, for studies in vertebrate animals or human subjects?

If the project involves human subjects and/or clinical research, are the plans to address:

1) the protection of human subjects from research risks; and
2) inclusion (or exclusion) of individuals on the basis of sex, race, and ethnicity, as well as the inclusion or exclusion of individuals of all ages (including children and older adults), justified in terms of the scientific goals and research strategy proposed?

Specific to this NOFO:

- To what degree are the individual Research Projects and Cores integrated and synergistic to accomplish the central objectives of the program? To what extent will the integration of the individual Research Projects into a single program be more beneficial than meeting each project's objectives individually?
- To what extent are the benchmarks and timelines well-defined, scientifically justified, and appropriate for the research proposed?

In addition, for applications involving clinical trials

Does the application adequately address the following, if applicable:

Study Design

Is the study design justified and appropriate to address primary and secondary outcome variables/endpoints that will be clear, informative and relevant to the hypothesis being tested? Is the selected comparator(s) of the study appropriate? Is the proposed study adequately powered to detect meaningful differences in the endpoints used or planned? Does the application adequately address and/or delineate interventions, is the study design adequately powered to answer the research question(s), test the proposed hypothesis/hypotheses, and provide interpretable results? Is the trial adequately controlled to allow for the research efficiently? Are the study populations (size, sex, age, demographic groups), proposed intervention arms/doses, and duration of the study appropriate and well justified?

Are potential ethical issues adequately addressed? Is the process for obtaining informed consent or assent appropriate? Is the eligible population available? Are the plans for recruitment outreach, enrollment, retention, handling withdrawals adequate? Where children are involved, are their developmental stages taken into account? Are the proposed enrollment timelines feasible and is the plan to monitor accrual adequate? Has the need for randomization (or not), masking (if appropriate), controls, and inclusion/exclusion criteria been addressed? Are differences addressed, if differences are expected? Is the drug, regimen, device, or procedure safe?

Are the plans to standardize, assure quality of, and monitor adherence to, the trial protocol and data collection or distribution guidelines appropriate? Is there a plan to obtain required study agents? Does the application propose to use existing available resources, as appropriate?

Data Management and Statistical Analysis

Are the planned analyses and statistical approach appropriate for the proposed study design and methods used to assign participants and deliver interventions? Are the procedures for data management and quality control of data adequate (clinical site(s) or at center laboratories, as applicable)? Have the methods for standardization of procedures for data management to ensure high quality and validity been addressed and quality control and assurance procedures for data collection, storage, analysis and reporting described?

Environment

Will the scientific environment in which the work will be done contribute to the probability of success? Are the institutional support, equipment and other physical resources available to the investigators adequate for the project proposed? Will the project benefit from unique features of the scientific environment, subject populations, or collaborative arrangements?

In addition, for applications involving clinical trials

If proposed, are the administrative, data coordinating, enrollment and laboratory/testing centers, appropriate for the trial proposed?

Does the application adequately address the capability and ability to conduct the trial within the clinical settings that are proposed? Are the plans to add or drop enrollment centers, as needed, appropriate?

If international site(s) is/are proposed, does the application adequately address the complexity of executing the clinical trial?

If multi-site/consortia, is there evidence of the ability of the individual site or center to (1) enroll the proposed numbers; (2) adhere to the protocol; (3) collect and transmit data in an accurate and timely fashion; and, (4) operate within the proposed organizational framework?

Additional Review Criteria – Overall, Administrative Core, Resource Development Core, Core(s), Research Projects

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will evaluate the following additional items while determining scientific and technical merit, and in providing an overall impact score, but will not give separate scores for these items:

Administrative Core

- To what extent does the application clearly describe and justify the proposed Administrative Core organizational structure?
- How well do the Administrative Core objectives fit into the mission of the Center as a whole?

Resource Development Core

- Is the rationale for the proposed proposed for development in the Resource Development Core and the sharing of these resources with the broader scientific community critical for reaching the NOFO's goals?
- If improvements to existing resources are proposed in the Resource Development Core, to what extent is there a clearly demonstrated need for the proposed improvement? To what degree is the improvement more than incremental? To what extent will the improvement shift the state of the art forward?

Optional Scientific Core(s)

- How well justified is that each Scientific Core will support at least two Research Projects and that services will be used effectively?
- To what extent is the Core connected to the central focus of the Overall program?
- Are the facilities or services provided by the Core including procedures, techniques, and quality control of significantly high quality and appropriate for the proposed research?

Study Timeline

Specific to applications involving clinical trials

Is the study timeline described in detail, taking into account start-up activities, the anticipated rate of enrollment, and planned follow-up assessment? Is the projected timeline feasible and reasonable? Does the project incorporate efficiencies and utilize existing resources (e.g., CTSAs, existing research networks, electronic medical records, administrative databases, or patient registries) to increase the efficiency of participant enrollment and data collection, as appropriate?

Are potential challenges and corresponding solutions discussed (e.g., mitigation plans for low enrollment or termination of enrollment shortfalls)?

Protections for Human Subjects

For research that involves human subjects but does not involve one of the categories of research that are exempt under 45 CFR Part 46, the committee will evaluate the justification for involvement of human subjects and the proposed protections from research risk relating to their participation according to the following five review criteria: 1) risks to subjects, 2) adequacy of protection against risks, 3) potential benefits to the subjects and others, 4) importance of the knowledge to be gained, and 5) data and safety monitoring for clinical trials.

For research that involves human subjects and meets the criteria for one or more of the categories of research that are exempt under 45 CFR Part 46, the committee will evaluate: 1) the justification for the exemption, 2) human subjects involvement and characteristics, and 3) sources of materials. For additional information on review of the Human Subjects section, please refer to the Guidelines for the Review of Human Subjects.

Inclusion of Human Subjects Policies

When the proposed project involves human subjects and/or NIH-defined clinical research, the committee will evaluate the proposed plans for inclusion. For additional information on review of the Inclusion section, please refer to the Guidelines for the Review of Inclusion in Clinical Research.

Vertebrate Animals

The committee will evaluate the involvement of live vertebrate animals as part of the scientific assessment according to the following three points: (1) a complete description of all proposed procedures including the species, strains, ages, sex, and total numbers of animals to be used; (2) justifications that the species is appropriate for the proposed research and that the minimum number of animals is requested; and (3) adequate veterinary care, and the method of euthanasia is consistent with the recommendations of the Panel on Euthanasia of the American Veterinary Medicine Association (AVMA) Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals, is also required but is found in a separate section of the application. For additional information on review of the Vertebrate Animals Section, please refer to the Worksheet for Review of the Vertebrate Animals Section.

Biohazards

Reviewers will assess whether materials or procedures proposed are potentially hazardous to research personnel and/or the environment, and if needed, determine whether adequate protection is proposed.

Resubmissions

Not Applicable

Renewals

Not Applicable

Revisions

Not Applicable

Additional Review Considerations – Overall, Administrative Core, Resource Development Core, Core(s), Research Projects

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will consider each of the following items, but will not give scores for these items, and should not consider them in providing an overall impact score.

Applications from Foreign Organizations

Reviewers will assess whether the project presents special opportunities for furthering research programs through the use of unusual talent, resources, populations, or environmental conditions that exist in other countries and either are not readily available in the United States or augment existing U.S. resources.

Select Agent Research

Reviewers will assess the information provided in this section of the application, including: 1) the Select Agent(s) to be used in the proposed research, 2) the registration status of all entities where Select Agent(s) will be used, 3) the procedures that will be used to monitor possession use and transfer of Select Agent(s), and 4) plans for appropriate biosafety, biocontainment, and security of the Select Agent(s).

Resource Sharing Plans

Reviewers will comment on whether the Resource Sharing Plan(s) (e.g., Sharing Model Organisms) or the rationale for not sharing the resources, is reasonable.

Authentication of Key Biological and/or Chemical Resources:

For projects involving key biological and/or chemical resources, reviewers will comment on the brief plans proposed for identifying and ensuring the validity of those resources.

Budget and Period of Support

Reviewers will consider whether the budget and the requested period of support are fully justified and reasonable in relation to the proposed research.

2. Review and Selection Process

Applications will be evaluated for scientific and technical merit by (or) appropriate Scientific Review Group(s) convened by the Center for Scientific Review (CSR), in accordance with NIH peer review policy and practices, using the stated review criteria. Assignment to a Scientific Review Group will be shown in the eRA Commons.

As part of the scientific peer review, all applications will receive a written critique.

Applications may undergo a selection process in which only those applications deemed to have the highest scientific and technical merit (generally the top half of applications under review) will be discussed and assigned an overall impact score.

Appeals of initial peer review will not be accepted for applications submitted in response to this NOFO.

Applications will be assigned to the appropriate NIH Institute or Center. Applications will compete for available funds with all other recommended applications submitted in response to this NOFO. Following initial peer review, recommended applications will receive a second level of review by the National Advisory Allergy and Infectious Diseases Council. The following will be considered in making funding decisions:

- Scientific and technical merit of the proposed project as determined by scientific peer review;
- Availability of funds;
- Relevance of the proposed project to program priorities.

If the application is under consideration for funding, NIH will request "just-in-time" information from the applicant as described in the NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 2.3.1 Just-in-Time Procedures. This request is not a Notice of Award nor should it be construed to be an indicator of possible funding.

Prior to making a Notice of Award, NIH reviews an applicant's federal award history in SAM.gov and may consider that information. An applicant can review and comment on any information in the Responsibility/Qualification records available in SAM.gov. NIH will consider any comments by the applicant in the Responsibility/Qualification records in SAM.gov to ascertain the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and performance record of managing Federal awards per 2 CFR Part 200.206 "Federal awarding agency review of risk posed by applicants." This provision will apply to all NIH grants and cooperative agreements except fellowships.

3. Anticipated Announcement and Award Dates

After the peer review of the application is completed, the PD/PI will be able to access their Summary Statement (written critique) via the eRA Commons. Refer to Publication Info for pre-award, advisory council review, and earliest start dates.

Information regarding the disposition of applications is available in the NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 2.4.4 Disposition of Applications.

Section VI. Award Administration Information

1. Award Notices

A Notice of Award (NoA) is the official authorizing document notifying the applicant that an award has been made and that funds may be requested from the designated HHS payment system or Office. The NoA is signed by the Grants Management Officer and emailed to the recipient's business official.

In accepting the award, the recipient agrees that the stated amount will be available subject to all provisions currently in effect or implemented during the period of the award, other Department regulations and policies in effect at the time of the award, and applicable statutory provisions.

Recipients must comply with any funding restrictions described in Section IV.6. Funding Restrictions. Any pre-award costs incurred before receipt of the NoA are at the applicant's own risk. For more information on the Notice of Award, please refer to the NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 5.1 The Notice of Award and NIH Grants & Funding website, see Award Process.

Individual awards are based on the application submitted to, and as approved by, the NIH and are subject to the IC-specific terms and conditions identified in the NoA.

ClinicalTrials.gov: If you propose to provide for one or more clinical trials, By law (Title VIII, Section 801 of Public Law 110-85, the "responsible party" must register and submit results information for certain "applicable clinical trials" on the ClinicalTrials.gov Protocol Registration and Results System Information Website (https://register.clinicaltrials.gov). NIH expects registration and results reporting of all trials supported by its grants and cooperative agreements. For more information, see https://grants.nih.gov/policy/clinical-trials/reporting/index.htm

Institutional Review Board or Independent Ethics Committee Approval: Grantee institutions must ensure that all protocols are reviewed by their IRB or IEC. To help ensure the safety of participants enrolled in Multiple Project awards, recipients must provide NIH copies of documents that describe the rationale of major changes in the status of ongoing protocols.

Data and Safety Monitoring Requirements: The NIH policy for data and safety monitoring requires oversight and monitoring of all NIH-conducted or –supported human biomedical and behavioral intervention studies (clinical trials) to ensure the safety of participants and the validity and integrity of the data. Further information concerning these requirements is found at http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/policy.htm, safety.htm and in the application instructions (SF424 (R&R) and PHS 398).

Investigational New Drug or Investigational Device Exemption Requirements: Consistent with federal regulations, clinical research projects involving the use of investigational therapeutics, vaccines, or other medical interventions (including behavioral products and devices) may require that these products be used under a new investigational drug application (IND) or investigational device exemption (IDE).

2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

The following Federal wide and HHS-wide policy requirements apply to awards funded through NIH:

- The rules listed at 2 CFR Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards.
- 45 CFR Part 75, HHS Implementation of 2 CFR Part 200 and 45 CFR Part 92. See the terms and conditions in the Notice of Award (NoA). The NoA includes the requirements of the Publication, Publication Info for award terms. See the NIH Grants Policy Statement Part 1: Terms and Conditions of NIH Grant Awards, Subpart A: General and Part II: Terms and Conditions of NIH Grant Awards, Subpart B: Terms and Conditions for Specific Types of Grants, Recipients, and Activities.

All federal statutes and regulations relevant to federal financial assistance, including those listed applicable to NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 4 Public Policy Requirements, Objectives and Other Appropriation Mandates.

Recipients are responsible for ensuring that their activities comply with all applicable federal regulations. NIH may terminate awards under certain circumstances. See 2 CFR Part 200.340 Termination and NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 8.5.2 Remedies for Noncompliance or Enforcement Actions: Suspension, Termination, and Withholding of Support.

Pursuant to the Cybersecurity Act of 2015, Div. N, § 101, Pub. Law 114-113, § 1222(a), the HHS Secretary has established a common set of voluntary, consensus-based, and industry-led guidelines, best practices, methodologies, procedures, and processes.

Successful recipients under this NOFO agree that:

When recipients, subrecipients, or third-party entities have:

1. ongoing and consistent access to HHS owned or operated information or operational technology systems; and
2. review, maintain, transmit, store, access, exchange, process, or utilize personal identifiable information (PII) or personal health information (PHI) obtained from the awarding HHS agency for the purposes of executing the award.

Recipients shall develop plans and procedures, modeled after the NIST Cybersecurity framework, to implement HHS systems and data. Please refer to NIH Post Award Monitoring and Reporting for additional information.

Cooperative Agreement Terms and Conditions of Award

Not Applicable

3. Data Management and Sharing

Consistent with the 2023 NIH Policy for Data Management and Sharing, when data management and sharing is applicable to the award, recipients will be required to adhere to the Data Management and Sharing requirements as outlined in the NIH Grants Policy Statement. Upon the approval of a Data Management and Sharing Plan, it is required for recipients to implement the plan as described.

4. Reporting

- When multiple years are involved, recipients will be required to submit the Research Performance Progress Report (RPPR) annually and financial statements as required in the NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 8.4.1 Reporting. To learn more about post-award monitoring and reporting, see the NIH Grants & Funding website, see Post-Award Monitoring and Reporting.

A final RPPR, invention statement, and the expenditure data portion of the Federal Financial Report are required for closeout of an award, as described in the NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 8.4.1 Reporting. See publication info for publication info for publication info for